

SAVE MATCHEDASH BAY





Table of Contents

Authors	2
Acknowledgments	2
Summary	2
About Matchedash Bay	2
Project Team	3
Introduction to Phragmites	4
What is <i>Phragmites</i> ?	4
Identification – Native vs. Invasive	4
Hybridization	5
DNA Sampling	5
Species at Risk (SARs)	6
Overview	7
Highlights	7
Challenges Faced	7
Maps and Tables	8
Methodologies	9
Phragmites Control	9
Community Involvement	10
2022 Outlook	10
Appendices	11



Authors

This report was prepared 2021 by Georgian Bay Forever

• Nicole Carpenter, Project Coordinator

Acknowledgments

Funding and assistance for the 2021 Save Matchedash Bay project was provided by Ganawenim Meshkiki (GMI) who is the Trustee of the Eastern Georgian Bay Initiative ("EGBI") managed by Henvey Inlet First Nations, Habitat Stewardship Protection (HSP) through Environment and Climate Change Canada (ECCC), MTM Conservation Association and our many individual donors. We send our sincerest thanks to all for the investment in this initiative.







Summary

2021 marks the start of a 2-year project to Save Matchedash Bay. GBF has partnered with Ganawenim Meshkiki, the MTM Conservation Association and the Severn Sound Environmental Association (SSEA) to remove invasive *Phragmites*, monitor species at risk in the wetland and advance knowledge of the risk of *Phragmites* to biodiversity in Matchedash Bay. Georgian Bay Forever (GBF) has been working with invasive *Phragmites* along the Eastern shorelines of Georgian Bay, Lake Huron for the past 9 years.

About Matchedash Bay

Matchedash Bay is located within Simcoe County in Central Ontario, Canada. In 1996, Matchedash Bay Provincial Wildlife Area was designated a Ramsar Site, defining it as a Wetland of International Importance for the conservation and wise use of wetlands and their resources (<u>https://www.ramsar.org</u>). It is one of 2,433 wetlands across the globe that cover a total of approximately 255 million hectares. The water levels are constantly fluctuating in the day due to the North River and Coldwater River tributaries and the greater Georgian Bay, Lake Huron waterbody. It is home to over 170 species of birds, 568 plant species and many fish, reptiles, amphibians and mammals. This wetland is composed of a variety of habitats including swamps, cattail marshes, beaver ponds, hardwood forest, agricultural lands, native grass meadows and a coniferous wetland forest.

Various recreational activities such as canoeing, kayaking, boating, fishing, hunting, birding and hiking are all popular in and around Matchedash Bay. The wetland is also subject to development of cottages and marinas as well as agricultural activities in the surrounding areas putting the site at risk.





Figure 1. Map of Matchedash Bay, located in the Township of Severn.

Project Team

Nicole Carpenter was the Save Matchedash Bay Project Coordinator responsible for planning, organizing and delivering goals for the current project through outreach and coordination activities. Local summer students Sean Mullin and Jared McNabb participated in education outreach activities as well as mapping and removing *Phragmites* in Matchedash Bay from June to mid-September. Species at Risk monitoring was conducted by the Severn Sound Environmental Association of which the primary investigators were Michelle Hudolin (SSEA Wetlands & Habitat Biologist), and contractor Robert (Bob) Bowles. Additional SSEA staff and volunteers assisted with field investigations, including Nicole Stott (SSEA Biodiversity Conservation Intern), Tamara Brincat (SSEA Invasive Species Program Coordinator), Ben Lehan (SSEA Environmental Intern), and Grant Hudolin (volunteer). Naturalists and local community members provided SSEA with very helpful information about past species observations in the study area.



Introduction to Phragmites

What is *Phragmites*?

Some of the most pristine coastal wetlands are found in Georgian Bay, Lake Huron. Many organisms depend on these wetlands for life-sustaining activities such as foraging, spawning, shelter and more. Invasive species are non-native plants or animals that have been introduced to an ecosystem and have the ability to spread and disrupt the native wildlife. They are a threat to the environment and the broader economy.

Non-native *Phragmites* are a significant threat to the Great Lakes along with many other invasive species. We acknowledge that invasive *Phragmites* is a reed grass that unwilfully travelled from Europe to Canada in the 1800s through human activity and has developed as a significant threat to Georgian Bay's coastal wetlands. *Phragmites* can be divided up into 2 lineages. The native subspecies, *Phragmites australis americanus*, and the invasive subspecies, *Phragmites australis australis australis*, which are both found in Matchedash Bay.

In its natural environment, *Phragmites* does not pose any threat to other organisms and encounters 140 fellow creatures that live in balance with each other. Living in North America, the invasive lineage does not have any natural threats or predators which allows it to flourish in an unbalanced way by poisoning our native species that have not evolved to live in harmony with it. Unfortunately, in the Great Lakes coastal ecosystems, invasive *Phragmites* grows quickly into extremely dense monocultures, outcompeting native vegetation and reducing biodiversity and habitat for native plants and animals. Furthermore, this impairs proper functioning of wetlands which are significant ecosystems that enhance water quality, provide shelter and food for other relatives and sequester carbon helping to counter human caused Global Heating.

Identification - Native vs. Invasive

Invasive *Phragmites* can be identified by their connecting root system of hollow rhizomes, beige stems and tall green stalks with alternating leaves. The stalks, if well-established, can grow up to 15 ft tall. Native *Phragmites* looks quite similar but does not grow as tall or dense and will co-exist amongst other native species. In late August and into the fall, invasive *phragmites* begin to develop large purple/reddish seed heads, unlike the native *Phragmites* that develop seeds earlier in the season. After seeds disperse in the fall, the stalks die and remain standing throughout the winter strong and dry posing as a fire hazard. Majority of native plants will fall under the weight of snow, break down, contribute nutrients back to the soil and allow space for new vegetation to grow come Spring. The remains of dried out stalks of invasive *Phragmites* prevent new growth of native plants in the Spring. During the summer, one can identify a stand of invasive *phragmites* by the presence of leftover standing stalks and seeds from years previous. <u>Phragmites</u> <u>Identification Tips | Georgian Bay Forever</u>



Figure 2. Native Phragmites in Matchedash Bay.



Figure 3. Invasive Phragmites in Matchedash Bay.



Hybridization

More often than not, identifying the native vs. invasive lineage can be quite difficult and may require DNA sampling to be done. Recently, there have been cases in Ontario, CA and Michigan, USA where individuals have described these "difficult to identify" stands as having characteristics falling under both native and invasive lineages. In the past, GBF has experienced sites on Georgian Bay that grow quite tall and dense (invasive characteristics) but have very distinct red markings on the stalk, have light coloured, sparse seeds heads and seed earlier in the season (native characteristics). In addition, these sites of uncertainty grow alongside patches of *Phragmites* that we can confidently say are invasive. Though rare, in the last decade there have been reports about hybridization between native and invasive *Phragmites*. Currently, there are no reports of hybridization in Georgian Bay.

DNA Sampling

Along the North River and Coldwater there were many sites of uncertainty found. The *Phragmites* in these locations were large, dense and tall like the invasive but also displayed a lighter green/yellow colour, red stalks and sparse seed heads similar to the native strain. In discussions with SSEA and MTM Conservation Association, there was still uncertainty in the identification of these sites. In the fall, GBF's Project Coordinator collected 7 samples and sent them to the Wendell Lab at Oakland University for analysis (https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jglr.2021.08.002).



Figure 4. Collecting DNA Sample 5 along the North River.



Figure 5. Native Phragmites and cattails on the Coldwater River. Sample 6 of DNA collection.



DNA Results

Of the sites of uncertainty sampled, 6 out of 7 were identified as native, with only 1 as invasive alongside a busy road on the outer corridor of the wetland. There were no hybrids identified. With these results, we are able to better plan for the 2022 season, knowing which sites to cut and which to leave. With 3 sites in the main proper, 7 in the North River and 18 along the Coldwater River, Matchedash Bay is home to 28 native *Phragmites* stands that appear to be growing quite strong.

Sample	Location	Latitude	Longitude	Questioned Lineage	Results (Invasive, Native, Hybrid)
1	Quarry Road	44.774822	-79.653392	Invasive	Invasive
2	Lawson Ln	44.744139	-79.639822	Hybrid	Native
3	Lawson Ln	44.744139	-79.639822	Hybrid	Native
4	Lawson Ln Parking Lot	44.7840004	-79.635835	Invasive/Hybrid	Native
5	North River	44.739316	-79.639051	Hybrid/Native	Native
6	Coldwater River	44.728993	-79.651736	Hybrid	Native
7	Coldwater River	44.732001	-79.652344	Hybrid	Native

Table 1. Location data collected of DNA Samples 1-7.

Species at Risk (SARs)

The establishment of invasive Phragmites has a potential to affect a variety of habitats and species such as those at risk. Its ability to outcompete native vegetation and grow extremely tall and dense threatens these species at risk even more by impeding on their ability to survive. The current project involved species at risk monitoring conducted by the Severn Sound Environmental Association and volunteers. Field investigations took place in spring, summer and fall of 2021 to document the species of concern within various habitats in the study area. Field work involved visual encounter surveys using binoculars and spotting scopes from a canoe and/or various locations amongst the wetland. No mark and recapture activities were conducted. Provincial Survey protocols for species at risk in Ontario were followed (MNRF, 2015). SSEA staff and volunteers monitored Matchedash Bay for a total of 23 field days. We hope to continue this monitoring in 2022.

Information about species at risk and their locations is quite sensitive and not intended for public disclosure, thus will not be discussed in this report. If you have any questions, concerns or comments, please contact <u>Nicole.carpenter@gbf.org</u>.



Overview

Highlights

- > 44 invasive *Phragmites* sites found in Matchedash Bay
- 18 sites cut, or 40% control in the first season. There are no sites in the eradicated/monitoring stage yet because it is the first year of control, but we hope to see a decrease in growth in 2022.
- > 26 sites were left untreated
- > 28 native Phragmites sites found

Challenges Faced

This being the first year into Matchedash Bay, GBF was bound to face some challenges tackling invasive *Phragmites*. Due to the inaccessibility of sites and uncertainty when identifying sites as native or invasive, GBF was not able to cut each site as we had hoped. Many of the sites are located amongst vast stretches of cattail marshes that are too dense for a boat to reach, and water too deep for staff to walk to. Some sites were only accessible by canoe travel through beaver paths. GBF has purchased a canoe for next season to be utilized to get to these hard to reach locations in 2022. In addition, GBF hopes to plan some winter cuts in the wetland once the ground safely freezes over. This is not something done often but has been shown to help decrease the density of stands. This can help make it easier when cutting the summer as well as open up space for any native vegetation that may be trying to grow.

As mentioned in the Introduction to *Phragmites* section of this report, there was a lot of discrepancy when it came to identifying the native vs. invasive *Phragmites*. Because of this, many of the stands along the Coldwater River and North River were not treated. Fortunately, majority of these stands turned out to be native.



Figure 6. GBF and SSEA holding an extremely long Phragmites rhizome.



Table 2. Breakdown of all Phragmites sites in Matchedash Bay.

	Total sites	New Sites	# of sites Eradicated/ Monitored	# of sites Cut	# of sites Controlled (Eradicated/ Monitored + Cut)	# of sites Untreated	% Eradicated/ Monitored	% Cut
Matchedash Bay	44	41	0	18	18	26	0%	41%



Figure 7. Map of all stands in Matchedash Bay. Yellow represents invasive sites cut, red represents invasive sites untreated and blue represents native sites.



Methodologies

Phragmites Control

Each year GBF maps the eastern shoreline of Georgian Bay in June recording the location, size, density and status of both recurring sites (from years previous) and new sites found. GBF continues to return to sites that have been mapped and cut in previous years in hopes not to see any regrowth. In this case, the stand is put into the monitoring/eradicated category and remains to be checked for years to come. GBF has been working with invasive *Phragmites* along the Eastern shorelines of Georgian Bay, Lake Huron for the past 9 years. GBF utilizes the control methods and techniques outlined in Ontario's Invasive Phragmites Best Management Practices (2020).

- 1. Location Mapping: Using a mapping software (i.e. Google Maps), GBF identified the locations in which native and invasive *Phragmites* was present in Matchedash Bay and its surrounding wetlands, ponds and tributaries. Geographic coordinates, size, density and other notes are recorded to help develop a management plan.
- 2. **Timing:** Cutting takes place during the primary growth stage of *Phragmites* which is around Mid-July to mid-August before seed heads emerge. This has been found to have the strongest impact on minimizing regrowth.
- 3. Equipment: Raspberry cane cutters and long-reach hedge trimmers are used to cut the *Phragmites* below the water surface and as close to the bottom as possible. Natural twine is used to bundle the cut biomass for easy transport and disposal. In addition, basic safety equipment such as gloves, ear protection, sunscreen and chest waders are all used. GBF uses a canoe and a 16ft Smokercraft motorboat to navigate in Matchedash Bay.
- 4. **Prioritize:** Priority is given to small stands first to ensure early eradication before the stand gets large and dense. It often takes a few years of cutting to completely get rid of a stand of *Phragmites* therefore we give priority to stands that have been cut previously. Each year, the stand should get smaller, more sparse, and easier to tackle. Large, dense sites are cut next with a big team (i.e., volunteers) to be most efficient.
- 5. **Selective Cutting:** Only invasive *Phragmites* stalks are removed, leaving native vegetation unharmed. If there are seed heads, they are removed from the stalks and placed into paper yard waste bags to dry out.
 - **Cut to drown method**: Each stalk is cut under water as close to the sediment as possible, allowing water to drown out the hollow root system.
- 6. Clean-up: To clean-up, GBF bundles the cut biomass and makes sure no pieces are left behind, specifically the roots as they can fragment and grow new shoots.
- 7. **Disposal:** A designated spot is determined near the removal location where the cut stalks can dry and decay. It is far enough from the waters edge that rising water levels and storm waves will not pull the biomass back into the water. The site will be checked every year to ensure there is no growth at the disposal site.
- 8. **Follow-up:** *Phragmites* is a perennial reed grass meaning it will grow back every year. If left untreated, it will grow back larger and more dense. If treated (i.e., cut), the stand will grow back smaller and sparse, until eventually there is no regrowth. This can take 2-5 years of cutting activities depending on the size of the stand. Eventually native plants will return, and the habitat will be restored.

For more information or training on how to remove invasive *Phragmites* from shorelines in Matchedash Bay, contact Nicole.carpenter@gbf.org.

If you are interested in volunteering and becoming a Phragbuster, contact <u>Nicole.carpenter@gbf.org</u>



Community Involvement

Events

Coldwater Mill Farmers Market

Project Coordinator, Nicole Carpenter, and summer Phragbusters, Sean, Jared, Adam and David, attended a variety of farmers markets across the eastern Georgian Bay coast to spread knowledge and awareness of the threat invasive *Phragmites* has to coastal wetlands including Matchedash Bay. Most local to Matchedash Bay was the Coldwater Mill Farmer's Market that GBF attended to handout educational material and answer questions for the community about our new work removing invasive *Phragmites* and monitoring species at risk.

Community Cuts

GBF hosted 2 community cuts with help from SSEA and MTM Conservation Association in different areas of Matchedash Bay. Volunteers dedicated a total of 30 hours to invasive *phragmites* removal from the main proper and Beaver Pond over the summer.



Figure 8. GBF and MTM Community cut at the North Beaver Pond (aka Quarry Rd Pond).

2022 Outlook

Looking into 2022, GBF will continue to monitor and manage invasive *Phragmites* in Matchedash Bay. In June, it will be mapped again, and a priority plan will be developed. Any small sites mapped will be cut first along with any sites that were cut in 2021 to work toward eradication. GBF will continue to educate the community and recruit volunteers for *Phragmites* removal. In 2022, GBF expects to increase the amount of control in Matchedash Bay with the purchase of a canoe and hiring 2 summer staff to be dedicated to cutting in Matchedash all summer long. In addition, GBF looks forward to continuing work with MTM Conservation Association and SSEA for further *Phragmites* efforts and species at risk monitoring.



Appendices

Appendix A. Table of all 72 Phragmites sites, native and invasive.

Site ID	Latitude	Longitude	New Site (Y or N)	Size	Density	Status	Lineage (Native or Invasive)
1	44.73796	-79.6453	У	3 m ²	М	Native	Native
2	44.73918	-79.6405	У	4 stalks	S	Native	Native
3	44.73932	-79.6401	У	8 m ²	М	Native	Native
4	44.73931	-79.6391	У	>10 m ²	D	Native	Native
5	44.73539	-79.6513	У	2 m ²	S	Native	Native
6	44.73545	-79.6509	У	2 m ²	D	Native	Native
7	44.75044	-79.6789	У	7 m²	М	Cut	Invasive
8	44.75208	-79.6885	У	4 m ²	S	Cut	Invasive
9	44.7519	-79.6883	У	20 m ²	S	Cut	Invasive
10	44.75159	-79.6881	У	3 stalks	S	Untreated	Invasive
11	44.751	-79.6891	У	>10 m ²	D	Untreated	Invasive
12	44.76885	-79.6851	У	15 m²	М	Untreated	Invasive
13	44.76887	-79.6846	У	5 m²	М	Untreated	Invasive
14	44.74137	-79.6578	У	Polygon	М	Native	Native
15	44.75349	-79.6963	У	6 stalks	S	Untreated	Invasive
16	44.75305	-79.6908	У	3 m ²	М	Untreated	Invasive
17	44.75513	-79.6948	У	N/A	D	Untreated	Invasive
18	44.75294	-79.6871	У	8 m ²	М	Cut	Invasive
19	44.73439	-79.6511	У	7 m²	D	Native	Native
20	44.734	-79.6511	У	30 m ²	D	Native	Native
21	44.73355	-79.651	У	30 m ²	D	Native	Native
22	44.73298	-79.6511	У	15 m²	М	Native	Native
23	44.73288	-79.6517	У	18 m²	D	Native	Native
24	44.73264	-79.6519	У	10 m ²	М	Native	Native
25	44.73246	-79.6517	У	1 stalk	S	Native	Native
26	44.73196	-79.6523	У	>100 m ²	D	Native	Native
27	44.73138	-79.6522	У	>100 m ²	D	Native	Native
28	44.73086	-79.6526	У	3 m ²	D	Native	Native
29	44.7309	-79.6517	У	12 m ²	D	Native	Native
30	44.73034	-79.6515	У	35 m²	D	Native	Native
31	44.73002	-79.6514	У	20 m ²	D	Native	Native
32	44.72975	-79.6514	У	30 m ²	М	Native	Native
33	44.72886	-79.6522	У	20 m ²	М	Native	Native
34	44.7286	-79.6518	У	5 m ²	М	Native	Native
35	44.72827	-79.6516	у	8 Stalks	S	Native	Native



Protecting your water.

36	44.72474	-79.6503	У	8 m ²	S	Untreated	Invasive
37	44.75809	-79.6904	У	1 m²	S	Cut	Invasive
38	44.75822	-79.6904	У	2 m ²	М	Cut	Invasive
39	44.75826	-79.6903	У	1 m ²	М	Cut	Invasive
40	44.7588	-79.6936	У	4 stalks	S	Native	Native
41	44.75872	-79.6936	У	5 m ²	S	Native	Native
42	44.76026	-79.6908	У	5 m ²	D	Cut	Invasive
43	44.75923	-79.6901	У	15 m ²	М	Cut	Invasive
44	44.7583	-79.6989	У	40 m ²	D	Untreated	Invasive
45	44.75681	-79.6939	У	15 m ²	D	Cut	Invasive
46	44.75686	-79.6938	У	2 m ²	М	Cut	Invasive
47	44.75675	-79.6935	У	30 m ²	D	Untreated	Invasive
48	44.75473	-79.6728	У	12 stalks	S	Untreated	Invasive
49	44.75494	-79.6726	У	2 m ²	М	Untreated	Invasive
50	44.75413	-79.672	У	2 m ²	М	Untreated	Invasive
51	44.75389	-79.6712	У	Polygon		Untreated	Invasive
52	44.75012	-79.6683	У	6 stalks	S	Untreated	Invasive
53	44.74943	-79.668	У	3 stalks	S	Untreated	Invasive
54	44.74852	-79.6681	У	12 m ²	D	Untreated	Invasive
55	44.75813	-79.6815	У	12 stalks	М	Cut	Invasive
56	44.75815	-79.6992	Ν	25 m ²	D	Untreated	Invasive
57	44.75913	-79.6969	Ν	15 m ²	D	Untreated	Invasive
58	44.75916	-79.6964	Ν	5 m ²	М	Untreated	Invasive
59	44.75852	-79.6924	У	2 m ²	М	Untreated	Invasive
60	44.75697	-79.6938	У	3 m ²	D	Cut	Invasive
61	44.75695	-79.6938	У	5 m ²	S	Cut	Invasive
62	44.75688	-79.6937	У	2 m ²	М	Cut	Invasive
63	44.77285	-79.6734	У	15 m ²	D	Cut	Invasive
64	44.77337	-79.6736	У	8 m ²	D	Cut	Invasive
65	44.77355	-79.6738	У	8 m ²	D	Cut	Invasive
66	44.77353	-79.6691	У	Unknown	D	Untreated	Invasive
67	44.7738	-79.6693	У	Unknown	D	Untreated	Invasive
68	44.71813	-79.6511	У	20 m ²	М	Untreated	Invasive
69	44.718	-79.6551	У	50 m ²	D	Untreated	Invasive
70	44.72583	-79.6532	У	>100 m ²	D	Untreated	Invasive
71	44.74007	-79.636	У	50 m ²	D	Native	Native
72	44.72617	-79.6529	у	50 m ²	D	Native	Native